LEAD MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT

East Sussex County Council

<u>DECISIONS</u> to be made by the Lead Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Carl Maynard

MONDAY, 18 APRIL 2016 AT 10.00 AM

COMMITTEE ROOM, COUNTY HALL, LEWES

AGENDA

- 1 Decisions made by the Lead Cabinet Member on 14 March 2016 (Pages 3 6)
- 2 Disclosure of Interests Disclosure by all Members present of personal interests in matters on the agenda, the nature of any interest and whether the Members regard the interest as prejudicial under the terms of the Code of Conduct.
- 3 Urgent items Notification of any items which the Lead Member considers urgent and proposes to take at the appropriate part of the agenda.
- 4 Amendments to the Transport Asset Management Plan Maintenance Management Policy Documents (Pages 7 - 20)

Report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport

5 Any urgent items previously notified under agenda item 3

PHILIP BAKER Assistant Chief Executive County Hall, St Anne's Crescent LEWES BN7 1UE

Contact Simon Bailey, Democratic Services Officer, 01273 481935

Email: simon.bailey@eastsussex.gov.uk

8 April 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 1

LEAD MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT

DECISIONS made by the Lead Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Carl Maynard, on 14 March 2016 at County Hall, Lewes

Councillor Belsey spoke on items 5 and 6 (see minutes 57 and 58) Councillor Field spoke on item 4 (see minute 56) Councillor O'Keeffe spoke on items 4, 5, 6 and 7 (see minutes 56, 57, 58 and 59) Councillor St Pierre spoke on items 4, 5 and 7 (see minutes 56, 57 and 59) Councillor Standley spoke on item 4 (see minute 56) Councillor Stogdon spoke on items 4, 5 and 7 (see minutes 56, 57 and 59) Councillor Taylor spoke on item 5 (see minute 57) Councillor Whetstone spoke on items 4 and 6 (see minutes 56 and 58)

53 DECISIONS MADE BY THE LEAD CABINET MEMBER ON 22 FEBRUARY 2016

53.1 The Lead Member approved as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 22 February 2016.

54 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

54.1 Councillor Maynard declared a personal interest in items 5 and 8 in that he is Leader of Rother District Council, and a further personal interest in item 8 in that he is a member of the Bexhill Town Centre Steering Group, but he did not consider these to be prejudicial.

54.2 Councillor O'Keeffe declared a personal interest in item 5 as a member of the Cross Party Member Panel, and a personal interest in item 6 as a Member of Lewes Town Council, but she did not consider these to be prejudicial.

55 <u>REPORTS</u>

55.1 Reports referred to in the minutes below are contained in the minute book.

56 NOTICE OF MOTION: COUNTY COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITY FOR DETERMINING PLANNING APPLICATIONS WITHIN ITS BOUNDARIES

56.1 The Lead Member considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport.

DECISION

56.2 RESOLVED to recommend that the County Council agree an amended Motion, as set out below:

"This Council believes that Local Government should maintain the responsibility that it currently enjoys in respect of determining planning applications within its boundaries. This Council requests its Leader:

i) to take all possible measures to lobby Central Government to ensure that local responsibility is maintained

ii) enlist the help of the Local Government Association and the County Councils' Network to pursue this aim."

Reasons

56.3 The original Motion did not fully reflect the statutory position affecting the County Council in determining planning applications. The South Downs National Park Authority is the local planning authority for all land within the National Park. The County Council can only appraise planning applications relating to waste and minerals, and its own development. All other types of development which require planning applications are considered by the Borough and District Councils. There are powers for the Secretary of State to call in applications for his determination. The amended Motion seeks to address the statutory position.

57 CAPITAL PROGRAMME FOR LOCAL TRANSPORT IMPROVEMENTS 2016/17

57.1 The Lead Member considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport. Councillor Taylor reported the views of Bespoke regarding Eastbourne Seafront.

DECISION

57.2 RESOLVED to: (1) agree the programme of local transport improvements for 2016/17 set out in appendix 1 of the report with the proviso that no construction works would take place on implementing the Eastbourne Seafront cycle route before a decision has been taken by the DCLG on the Eastbourne Borough Council bye-law that covers the use of the Promenade;

(2) agree the allocation of County Council capital funding, development contributions and Local Growth Fund monies towards specific improvements identified in the 2016/17 programme;

(3) thank the officers for their work in preparing the programme.

Reasons

57.3 The capital programme represents a balanced programme of improvements which will help deliver not only the objectives of the County Council's Local Transport Plan but also contribute to achieving broader corporate objectives.

58 <u>ALLOCATION OF THE 2016/17 COMMUNITY MATCH FUNDING TO A NUMBER OF</u> <u>COMMUNITY LED LOCAL TRANSPORT IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES</u>

58.1 The Lead Member considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport. Officers confirmed that Sedlescombe Parish Council wished to withdraw from the footpath scheme. The written comments of Councillors Galley and Davies were noted.

58.2 The scheme for the footway at Blackham and Ashurst will be subject to a Road Safety Audit, the results of which will be shared with Councillor Whetstone and Withyham Parish Council. It was confirmed that the Parish Council would not incur any of the design costs, should the Road Safety Audit recommend a more expensive solution to the scheme and the community be unable to raise any revised match funding target.

DECISIONS

58.3 RESOLVED to (1) agree that £26,750 of match funding should be allocated towards four specific community led transport improvement schemes for construction in 2016/17, the spend of £21,250 at Withyham to be subject to the outcome of the Road Safety Audit;

(2) agree that development work on two further community match schemes should commence for possible match funding later in 2016/17;

(3) agree that the remaining unallocated £122,857 from the match funding available in 2016/17 should be held for potential allocation to schemes in the next round of funding in autumn 2016; and

(4) thank the officers for their liaison work with funding partners.

Reasons

58.4 The decisions are in line with the recommendations of the Cross Party Member panel.

59 LOCAL TRANSPORT PLAN DRAFT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 2016/17 - 2020/21

59.1 The Lead Member considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport. It was confirmed that an updated version of the Implementation Plan, with illustrative maps, is now available.

DECISIONS

59.2 RESOLVED to (1) approve the final draft Local Transport Plan Implementation Plan 2016/17 – 2020/21, subject to an additional line in Table 5 to address the use of the C7 between Newhaven and Lewes; and

(2) thank the officers for their work on the Implementation Plan.

Reasons

59.3 The Implementation Plan sets out the Council's plans for investment in transport infrastructure to support economic growth in the county over the next five years to 2010/21 and has been shaped by the comments received through the public consultation. The ability to deliver the measures identified in the Implementation Plan will be dependent on the level of funding available from a range of sources and as such has been developed with maximum flexibility to reflect this.

60 PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS AT THE SACKVILLE ROAD ROUNDABOUT, BEXHILL

60.1 The Lead Member considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport. The written comments of Councillor Ensor were noted.

DECISIONS

60.2 RESOLVED to (1) note the outcomes of the review of the detailed design work associated with the proposed improvements at the Sackville Road Roundabout, Bexhill;

(2) agree that the alternative design (Figure 2 of Appendix 1) should be taken forward to detailed design and construction as part of the 2016/17 capital programme for Local Transport Improvements; and

(3) agree that the draft Traffic Regulation Order to enable changes to be made to the on-street parking arrangements on Marina to facilitate access to the west end stage door of the De La Warr Pavilion be made.

Reasons

60.3 As part of the ongoing value engineering exercise, an alternative design has been developed, which significantly reduces the overall cost and impact during construction whilst retaining the main aims and benefits of the original scheme.

Agenda Item 4

Report to:	Lead Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment
Date:	18 April 2016
By:	Director of Communities, Economy and Transport
Title of report:	Amendments to the Transport Asset Management Plan - Maintenance Management Policy Documents
Purpose of report:	To seek agreement to the amendments of Chapters 2 and 5 of the Transport Asset Management Plan - Maintenance Management Policy Documents relating to Gully Cleaning and Guidance Notes for Inspectors

RECOMMENDATION: The Lead Member is recommended to approve the proposed amendments to the current Highway Gully Cleansing policy and Inspections Guidance Document and note that the revised maintenance standards will have either a neutral or minimal impact on current levels of service delivery.

1. Background Information

1.1 This report informs the Lead Member of the review of the Transport Asset Management Plan - Maintenance Management Policy Document (TAMP-MMPD) which has been undertaken to identify the documents that require amendment before the new Highways Infrastructure Services Contract ('the Contract') commences on 1 May 2016.

1.2 East Sussex County Council's highway policies and maintenance standards have been developed and adopted by the County Council over the years to ensure compliance with the Highways Act 1980 and National Code of Practice. A new highway policy framework is currently in development to ensure that the management of all highway maintenance activities properly reflects national standards, corporate priorities, local transport policy and the new Highways Infrastructure Services Contract outcomes. The new framework will be provided during 2016/17; however, two areas of the current framework, the gulley cleansing policy and guidance for safety inspections, require immediate updating to reflect the service outcomes required from the new contract.

2. Supporting Information

(a) <u>Update to Chapter 2: Gully Cleansing Policy</u>

2.1 The Contract includes the following objective relating to highway drainage maintenance: "Allow all elements of the highway drainage system to work effectively and efficiently so that surface water is captured and discharged appropriately from the highway".

2.2 In order to meet this objective, the existing gully cleansing policy (extract in Appendix 1) has been amended (see Appendix 2) to reflect the first Service Year of the Contract (to include inspection / cleansing frequencies of 3, 6, 12 and 24 months dependent on recorded silt levels). The County Council's Highways Maintenance Contractor will then work with the County Council to develop a targeted Drainage Cleansing Plan for the remaining duration of the Contract.

2.3 A targeted approach to drainage maintenance, with a range of inspection / cleansing frequencies determined by the need of the asset, will allow for a more effective and proactive operational approach to maintaining highway drainage systems. This aligns with the new Contract outcomes for a total asset management based approach and is reflective of the recommendations made during a recent Scrutiny Review of Drainage Maintenance in East Sussex.

(b) <u>Update to Chapter 5: Guidance Notes for Inspectors when Undertaking Safety Inspections –</u> <u>Defect Categories (extract in Appendix 3)</u>

2.4 The Contract includes the following objective relating to highway asset inspections: "Undertake inspections to maintain a safe and serviceable Area Network." This includes Safety Inspections that identify

all defects likely to create danger to users and therefore require immediate or urgent action, and Service Inspections that identify all defects likely to compromise the serviceability and sustainability of an asset.

2.5 Defect category response timescales will now be recorded by an inspector under one of the following three categories:

- Category 1 Defects (High) Attend, make safe or repair within 2 hours
- Category 2 Defects (Medium) Attend, make safe or repair within <u>5 days</u>
- Category 3 Defects (Low) Attend, make safe or repair within 28 days
- **Observations** These are non-intervention defects and will be collected by an inspector to help inform asset inventory and condition data and be used to plan longer term prioritisation of future maintenance works.

2.6 ESCC will continue to employ a maximum 28 day fix for all safety intervention defects which will enable the Highways Maintenance Contractor to provide a more substantial repair that removes the need for repeat visits. This method, made affordable within the lump sum payment mechanism of the Contract, will also support highway service outcomes to reduce the reactive nature of the service and continue with a more planned, asset management based approach, ensuring better value for money for customers and longer term improvement to the condition of the highway network.

3. Legal Implications

3.1 These changes will provide additional clarity for service delivery and liabilities that will be managed by the new contractor with no reduction in service level. As the new Highways Maintenance Contractor builds upon records of gully performance it will mean that those gullies prone to filling and blocking will be emptied more frequently, thereby reducing the risk of highway flooding and providing a more efficient and cost effective service.

3.2 There are no proposed changes to the size of the road or footway defects recorded and repaired within the new Highway Asset Inspection Guidance document (see Appendix 4). Indeed, by including additional observation categories, the service has been enhanced to provide a regime that now fully supports an asset management approach and is set out within a practical and reasonable framework of risk assessment. It is also worth noting that the new Highways Maintenance Contractor will be taking on the risk of third party claims.

4. Conclusion and Reason for Recommendation

4.1 The Transport Asset Management Plan - Maintenance Manual Policy Document (TAMP-MMPD) has been reviewed in line with the new Contract, which is due to formally commence on 1 May 2016.

4.2 Both the Gully Cleansing Policy and Inspections Guidance Document have been identified as requiring immediate amendment so that they fully align with the Contract outcomes and Works Specification documents (which form part of the Contract).

4.3 The Lead Member is therefore recommended to approve the proposed amendments to the current Highway Gully Cleansing policy and Inspections Guidance Document to ensure a clear and consistent approach to inspection defects and gully cleaning frequencies.

RUPERT CLUBB Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact Officer: Rebecca Newby Tel No. 01273 336434 Email: Rebecca.newby@eastsussex.gov.uk

LOCAL MEMBERS.

All

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

- Scrutiny Review of Highway Drainage in East Sussex 16 March 2016
- Amendment to the Highway Maintenance Policy (Gully cleaning) approved by the Lead Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment -18 April 2011
- Amendment to the reactive maintenance repair timescales for highway defects approved by the Lead Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment - 10 December 2012

Appendix 1: Extract from Lead Member report dated 18 April 2011

Targeted Approach to Gully Cleansing

Cyclical cleaning of the Gullies and Linear Drainage Channels in order to **ensure the free passage of water at all times**.

- All gullies to be inspected no less than once per Contract Year
- Where gully is over 50% silted and/ or has a blocked outlet it is cleaned fully to the base of the gully pot.
- In addition the connection will be jetted up to 5m.
- If a gully is less than 50% silted and 'visually' operational the crew will inspect the gully, record the inspection and move to the next.
- Provision of emergency response to areas of standing water where criteria has not been met

Appendix 2: Proposed Highway Drainage Maintenance Policy

EAST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL LEAD MEMBER - TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT POLICY SUMMARY

	Highway Drainage Maintenance Policy		
Purpose of Policy East Sussex County Co considers maintenance of systems, gullies, chambe of ensuring the drainage meet its statutory obligat Service Outcomes, name	uncil (ESCC) recognises the vital role played by the local highway network. ESCC of the highway drainage system, including but not limited to: drains, linear drainage ers, catchpits, soakaways, outfalls associated pipework, ditches and grips, as a means asset continues to function as intended. In carrying out this maintenance, ESCC will itons and will also support the Council's Priorities, Local Transport Plan and Highway ely:		
Council Priorities:	es: • Driving economic growth		
	Keeping vulnerable people safe		
	Helping people help themselves; and		
	Making best use of resources		
Local Transport Plan Vision:	'To make East Sussex a prosperous county where an effective, well managed transport infrastructure, and improved travel choices help businesses to thrive and deliver better access to jobs and services, safer, healthier, sustainable and inclusive communities and a high quality environment.'		
East Sussex Highway Service Outcomes:	Improved Network Condition (principal requirement);		
	Improve asset condition;		
	Promote economic growth;		
	Reduce the level of third party claims;		
	Provide value for money;		
	Promote local engagement; and		
	Improve customer satisfaction		
Policy Statement			
ESCC is committed to enapproach to the maintena discharged appropriately approach as determined than individual assets, en	nsuring that it has the best highway network for the investment available. A targeted ance of drainage assets will ensure that surface water on the highway is captured and . Drainage assets will be inspected and cleansed using a risk based and targeted by recorded silt levels. This approach will be applied to whole sections of road, rather suring maximum operational efficiency and effectiveness.		
Specific Policies			
1. All highway draina	age assets to be inspected no less than once every twenty-four months.		
2. Where the gully o gully / catchpit an	or catchpit is silted and/or has a blocked outlet, it will be cleaned fully to the base of the id the connection jetted up to 5 metres.		
3. Drainage assets	to be GPS mapped and condition noted including silt levels before and after inspection.		
4. Provision of an er	mergency response to reports of blocked drainage assets or standing water.		
Supporting Information Highway Asset Management – Drainage Strategy 2015-2018 The drainage strategy identifies the need to define the highway drainage asset in East Sussex in order to deliver an efficient and effective service into the future.			

Further Information:	Date of Approval:
Approved by Lead Member for Transport & Environment Page 10	

Appendix 3: Extract from Chapter 5 Transport Asset Management Plan - Maintenance Management Policy Documents (TAMP-MMPD) as amended by Lead Member on 10 December 2012

GUIDANCE NOTES FOR INSPECTORS WHEN UNDERTAKING SAFETY INSPECTIONS

TAMPMMPD-05

The four types of defect which can be recorded by an inspector are:-

Category 1 defects which constitute a real hazard to public safety that are responded to in the following manner:-

- **Category 1a** emergency works: action required within 2 hours to make safe These defects must not be left unless signed and protected.
- Category 1b emergency works: action required within 5 days to make safe These defects must not be left unless signed and protected.

Category 2 or 3 defects that are below the standards for Category 1 defects but which may deteriorate to those levels before the next Inspection is undertaken. These include all defects to be included within the planned maintenance works programme

- Category 2 Planned works: action required within 6 months or by time of next inspection, whichever is the sooner, dependent upon the requirements of the Traffic Management Act.
- Category 3 Planned works: action required within 12 months or by time of next inspection, whichever is the sooner, dependent upon the requirements of the Traffic Management Act.

Observations that are non-intervention defects. They allow the inspector to assess the general street scene and programme repairs when other repairs are being carried out in the vicinity.



Highways and Infrastructure Services Contract 2016-23

East Sussex Highways

Highway Asset Inspection Guidance Document

Document History:

Date	Document Version	Document Revision History	Document Author / Reviser
March 2016	1.2		Rebecca Newby Highways Funding & Development Project Manager

1. OBJECTIVES

As a highway authority we have a statutory duty under section 41 of the Highways Act 1980 to keep the network available and safer for our customers. We are also permitted under Section 58 of the Highways Act 1980 to use a "special defence" in respect of action against us, to show that we have kept the highway in reasonable repair.

The Highway Inspection regime has been developed in accordance with the recommendations contained in the Well-maintained Highways – A Code of Practice for Highway Maintenance Management (July 2005). Our regime is set out within a practical and reasonable framework of risk assessment and inspection frequency, which takes account of all road users, including those who are most vulnerable.

Our main objectives are:

- To locate and identify defects on the highway and where appropriate, adjacent to the highway and to prioritise its repair.
- To assess the potential risks of damage and / or injury to highway users that may result from these defects.
- To ensure that appropriate measures are put in place to manage, eliminate and minimise risk.
- To ensure that those measures are effective in eliminating, or at least minimizing the risk.

In practice, making safe, signing and/or repairs should be carried out within the designated time constraints, in order that, so as far as is reasonably practicable, the condition of the highway is what a reasonable person would expect to find.

2. IDENTIFICATION OF DEFECTS

The table below sets out the various defects to be identified in a safety and service inspection. The defects are arranged in groups according to the element of the highway in which they occur. The list is not exhaustive and persons carrying out the safety inspections are requested to record any defect that might create a hazard to users of the highway.

Element	Defect			
Carriageway	Potholes			
	Loose material (to include debris, spillages or contamination)			
	Regulatory markings faded and worn			
	Ironwork, missing, broken, tilted, sunken or projecting			
	Displaced road studs			
	Edge damage on unkerbed roads			
	Unevenness due to rutting, humps, corrugations			
Kerbing	Loose, tilted, projecting			
Footways	Pre-formed unit paving rocking, trips or missing			
	Potholes			
	General surface defects – trips, bumps, depressions etc.			
	Ironwork, broken, tilted, rocking, missing or projecting			
Furniture **	Rails, barriers, safety fencing, fences, posts - excessive			
	defects			
	Road signs and signals - excessive defects			
	Unlawful signs – safety hazard			
Trees and Vegetation	On the highway – diseased, dead, dangerous all or part about			
	to fall			
	Off highway – safety hazard			
Verges*	Surface defects			
	Ironwork / covers, broken, missing or projecting			
No defects	No relevant defects found			
External defect	Third party, statutory undertaker defect			

* Verges primarily consist of soft soil / material and will also contain natural undulations, depressions, ditches, shrubs, branches, tree stumps and the like.

They cannot be maintained to the same specifications and standards as the metalled carriageway.

** For a large number of street furniture elements some form of prefabrication would be required to achieve a permanent repair which may not be possible within 28 days. Under these circumstances the defect would be made safe until a permanent repair was possible.

Safety Intervention Levels

Carriageway:	(any defect in the carriageway, causing in a change in level, resulting from		
	raised or sunken ironwork, pothole, failed surface)		
High: Cat 1	Greater than 100mm and at least 300mm wide in all directions		
Medium: Cat 2	Greater than 60mm and less than 99mm deep and at least 300mm in all		
	directions		
Low: Cat 3	Greater than 40mm and less than 59mm deep and at least 300mm in all		
	directions		

NOTE: At all formalised, pedestrian crossing points and 'on carriageway' cycleway, Footway intervention levels shall be used.

Footway:	(any defect in the footway or designated cycleway, causing in a change in level, resulting from raised or sunken ironwork, pothole, failed surface, displaced paving, kerb)
High: Cat 1	Greater than 40mm deep and at least 200mm wide in all directions
Medium: Cat 2	Greater than 30mm and less than 39mm deep and at least 200mm in all directions
Low: Cat 3	Greater than 20mm and less than 29mm deep and at least 200mm in all directions

Pavements, Kerbs & Blacktop / Tarmac Pavements

In defined Primary and Secondary Walking routes where the adopted inspection frequency is the same, repairs will be carried out when a 'trip' hazard of $20 \text{ mm} (^{3}_{4} \text{ inch})$ is either found through our regular safety inspections or where the fault is reported to us by members of the public. For blacktop or tarmac pavements the 'trip' hazard is defined as $20 \text{ mm} (^{3}_{4} \text{ inch})$ or more in depth and less than 600mm (2 feet) in width or length.





Road or Carriageway

On Strategic Routes, Main and Secondary Distributors where the adopted inspection frequency is the same. Repairs will be carried out where a 'pothole' hazard of 40mm (1 $\frac{1}{2}$ inches) or more in depth and with an equivalent diameter of 300mm or over, is either found through our regular safety inspections or where the fault is reported to us by members of the public.



The following is a schedule of defects to be identified during safety inspections:-

- Missing warning and regulatory signs.
- Missing ironwork covers or gratings.
- Damaged safety fences/barriers impeding the highway or footway.
- Damaged signs / street furniture which overhang the highway or footway and which are likely to collapse.
- Loose road studs
- Cracks in footways / cycleways wider than 25mm (1 inch) and longer than 300mm (1 foot).

3. INSPECTION FREQUENCIES

Categories of Inspection

Inspections can be considered under the following two categories:

Safety Inspection – Inspections to identify all defects likely to create danger to users or the wider community, and therefore requiring immediate or urgent action.

Service Inspection - Inspections to identify all defects likely to compromise serviceability and sustainability.

Safety Inspection Frequency

Inspection frequencies have been determined according to the network hierarchy for both roads (carriageway) and footways based on the Well-maintained Highways – A code of Practice for Highway Maintenance, and are set out in the tables below.

Feature	Description	Network Hierarchy	Frequency
	Motorway	1	N/A
Roads	Strategic Route	2	Once a month
	Main Distributor	3(a)	Once a month
	Secondary Distributor	3(b)	Once a month
	Local Roads	4a	Once every 6 months
	Local Access Roads – Urban	4b	Once every 6 months
	Local Access Roads - Rural	4b	Once a year
	Prestige Walking Routes	1(a)	Once a month
Footways	Primary Walking Routes	1	Once a month
	Secondary Walking Routes	2	Once every 3 months
	Link Footways	3	Once every 3 months
	Local Access Footways	4	Once a year
	Cycle Lane	A	As contiguous road
Cycle Route	Cycle Gap	A	As contiguous road
	Cycle Track	В	Once every 6 months
	Shared Cycle / Pedestrian	В	As contiguous
	Paths	C	footway
	Cycle Trails		Once a year

Service Inspection Frequency

Other inspection regimes

Service Inspections to identify all defects likely to compromise serviceability and sustainability shall be carried out on the features listed in the table below; such inspections may be carried out as part of respective general maintenance regimes for each feature, if applicable. Nevertheless, the highway inspector is expected to note and report a potential hazard found during a service inspection.

Service Inspection Frequency and Requirements

Feature	Description	Network Hierarchy	Frequency	
Highway Trees	All highway trees within and adjoining the highways should be inspected for dangerous conditions once every two years. The inspection shall be planned that it will alternate between when the trees are dormant and in full growth.		Once every 2 years	
Sat	Safety Barriers, Pedestrian Guardrails and Small Retaining Walls			
Safety Barriers	Safety barriers and pedestrian guardrails and small retaining walls visually inspected when required.	N/A	No less than 2 year intervals	
	Road Markings and Road Studs			
Road markings	Cycle Lane Cycle Gap Cycle Track Shared Cycle / Pedestrian Paths Cycle Trails	A A B C	No less than 2 year intervals	
Road studs	Road studs scouted for reflectivity	In accordance with road hierarchy & safety sites	Once a year prior to Autumn / Winter at night	

4. RESPONSE CATEGORIES

Category Defects Timescales

Any safety defect identified on the Highway that exceeds the Safety Intervention Level(s) identified in section 2 of this guidance document shall be responded to under one of the following three categories:

Category 1 Defects (High) - Attend, make safe or repair within 2 hours

- Those that require prompt attention because they represent an immediate and imminent hazard or because there is a risk of short term structural deterioration. Category 1 defects should be permanently corrected (if reasonably practicable), temporarily corrected or made safe at the time of inspection.
- Permanent repairs should be carried out within 28 days of defect identification.

Category 2 Defects (Medium) - Attend, make safe or repair within 5 days

- Those which, following an inspection, are deemed not to represent an immediate hazard or risk of short term structural deterioration. Such defects may have safety implications, although of a far lesser significance than Category 1 defects, but are more likely to have serviceability or sustainability implications.
- These defects are not required to be urgently rectified, yet should be permanently / temporary corrected or made safe within 5 days, or at the time of inspection, if reasonably practicable.
- Permanent repairs should be carried out within 28 days of defect identification.

Category 3 Defects (Low) - Attend, make safe or repair within 28 days

- Those which, following a risk assessment, are deemed not to represent an immediate hazard or risk of short term structural deterioration. Such defects may have safety implications, although of a far lesser significance than Category 1 defects, but are more likely to have serviceability or sustainability implications. These defects are not required to be urgently rectified, yet should be permanently / temporary corrected or made safe at the time of inspection, if reasonably practicable.
- \circ $\;$ Permanent repairs should be carried out within 28 days.

Table 1: Risk Intervention Table



Note: All intervention level defects are to be actioned and rectified within a maximum of 28 days.

Observations – Those that are non-intervention defects and will be collected by an inspector to help inform asset inventory and condition data and be used to plan longer term prioritisation of future maintenance works. Page 19

Response Times for General Maintenance

Street Lighting & Traffic Signals			
	Repairs for Cat 1 defects and Emergency	2 Hours	
	Reponses.		
	Faults involving the replacements of	10 Davs	
	components of apparatus.		
	Faults requiring the replacement of a complete		
	emergency faults	TO Days	
Street	Faults requiring the replacement of illuminated		
Lighting &	mandatory traffic signs and illuminated traffic		
Traffic	bollards, including those made safe as	1 Day	
Signals	emergency faults.		
	Faults requiring the removal of graffiti and / or	5 Days	
	any unauthorised attachments from apparatus	0 2430	
	Faults involving rectification of non-operating		
	Belisha beacons and school crossing flashing	1 Days	
	signs (wig wags)		
Replacement of a complete unit of apparatus		20 Days	
Intelligent Transport (ITS) Systems			
ITS	Priority 1 – Emergency / Serious Faults	2 Hours	
Systems	Priority 2- Urgent Faults	4 Hours	
	Priority 3 – Non-Urgent Faults	16 Hours	
	If permanent repair cannot be made at the first	7 Days	
	visit, full repair of Priority 1, 2 and 3 faults must		
	be completed within 7 days.		
Structures Response Times			
Structures	Newhaven Swing Bridge Additional	30 Mins	
	Cuifail Tunnel Additional	2 Hours	

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON INSPECTION AND RESPONSE ARRANGEMENTS

Other inspection information

The inspector is expected to carry out the highway safety inspection in reference to the intervention matrix contained within Appendix 1, but is also expected to note and report any potential highway hazard found during any other routine service inspection. The response time, if different from categories 1, 2 & 3 are set out within the Works Information.

Days are based on calendar days and weekends are included within calendar days.

Defects reported by the public

Enquiries by the public will be reviewed within 10 working days and actioned where necessary, in accordance with the above response categories.

Inspection Records

All repairs shall be recorded and details retained for a minimum of 6 years.